

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 LATASHA MCLAUGHLIN, on behalf of  
6 herself and all others similarly situated,

7  
8  
9 No. C 15-02904 WHA

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 WELLS FARGO BANK, NA,

13 Defendant.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 **ORDER DENYING PRO HAC  
VICE APPLICATION OF  
ATTORNEY MATTHEW  
INSLEY-PRUITT**

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Matthew Insley-Pruitt (Dkt. No. 32) is DENIED for failing to comply with Civil Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the highest court of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the state of Texas” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court (such as the Supreme Court of Texas). While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 26, 2015.

*Wm. Alsup*  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE